**Approved For Release 2000/08/07 : CIA-RDP96-00788R000500670002-3**

Boxes are provided to the right of each question. Only one box should be checked for each question asked. If you have any comments which you would like to make emphasizing the accuracy of the information or with reference to any information not generally covered in this format, please write them on the reverse of this page.

## Response to Tasking

Responses*

Does information provided satisfy your intelligence collection requirement?

☐ YES    IN PART ☒ NO ☐

## Accuracy

Geographical location (terrain, water, river, etc.)

☐ YES    IN PART ☒ NO ☐

Large scale man-made objects (docks, silos, buildings, etc.)

☐ YES    IN PART ☒ NO ☐

Small scale man-made objects (tanks, computers, antennas, etc.)

☐ YES    IN PART ☒ NO ☐

Target ambience (research, production, administration, troop movement, etc.)

☐ YES    IN PART ☐ NO ☒

Activity (nuclear testing, CBR, SIGINT monitoring, etc.)

☐ YES    IN PART ☒ NO ☐

Personality (Physical, plans, actions, traits, etc.)

☐ YES    IN PART ☒ NO ☐

## Utility

Please check which best describes the utility of the information provided (in view of what is known at this time, understanding that additional information could raise or lower such an interim assessment at a later date)

☐ VERY USEFUL

☒ USEFUL

☐ MARGINAL

☐ NONE

☐ CANNOT BE DETERMINED AT THIS TIME

*YES — indicates a full agreement with what is known to be fact about the target. NO — indicates a total lack of agreement with what is known to be fact about the target.

Additional tasking

Is additional tasking required?
(If yes, please write what that tasking
is on the back of the form)

☐ YES ☒ NO

Did the attached information add to
information derived from other intell-
igence sources?

☒ YES ☐ NO

Did the attached information aid in tasking
other intelligence resources by providing
targeting information?

☐ YES ☒ NO


*albert J Bari*
(Signed)  SIGNATURE

*ALBERT J. BARI, GS-13*
(Printed) NAME, GRADE

*ASS'T OPS OFFICER, USAOG*
(Printed) TITLE, or OFFICE

FINAL PROJECT EVALUATION FORM

| 1. CUSTOMER OFFICE | 2. INFORMATION REQUESTED DATE | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| USAOG | YEAR | MONTH | DAY |
| | 1980 | | |

| 3. TARGET COUNTRIES | 4. PROJECT NUMBER | 5. SOURCE NUMBER |
|---|---|---|
| IRAN | 2130A | NFN 240317 |

| 6. NUMBER REPORTS SUBMITTED FOR PROJECT | 7. REPORT IDENTIFICATION NUMBERS |
|---|---|
| | |

**8. REFERENCES**

A. REQUIREMENTS (CITE) _____
(ICR/CIR/DIRM 3/DIRM 9)
☐ B. INITIATIVE REPORT  *NA*

**9. REASON FOR EVALUATION (select one)**

☐ A. ICR RESPONSE
☒ B. COLLECTORS REQUEST
☐ C. SELECTED BY ANALYST

**10. VALUE OF INFORMATION (select one)**

☐ A. OF MAJOR SIGNIFICANCE
☒ B. OF VALUE
☐ C. OF NO VALUE

**11. TYPES OF PRODUCTS TO BENEFIT FROM USE OF REPORTED INFORMATION**

☐ A. BASIC INTELLIGENCE
☐ B. CURRENT INTELLIGENCE
☒ C. ESTIMATIVE INTELLIGENCE
☐ D. S&T INTELLIGENCE

**12. REASON INFORMATION IS OF NO VALUE (select one only)**

☒ A. TOO FRAGMENTARY
☐ B. DUPLICATIVE
☐ C. UNTIMELY
☐ D. NOT RESPONSIVE TO TASKING CITED

**13. DEGREE OF REQUIREMENT SATISFACTION (select one only)**

☐ A. COMPLETELY SATISFIED
☒ B. PARTIALLY SATISFIED
☐ C. NOT SATISFIED AT ALL

**14. NAME OF PRODUCT(S)**

**15. COLLECTION GUIDANCE (Mandatory unless 13A, above, is checked)**

Although this session tends to verify some of subject's activities, it lacks details that would more specifically identify subjects movement or activities. However, it is supportive

SG1A ___ facts or with information provided by subject

SG1A          SG1A

observations relating to a controlled complex, closely relates to known facts and substantiates some of subjects reporting. He has also verified travel in a _____ car which correlates with information

provided in this session. The last para.
graph provides a similar circumstance
that apparently was experienced by the
(remarks continued) Subject. He was in a sensitive environment; he
was meeting with the people for information and he at times
using an assumed cover for action. This session provides support
in verifying Subject's presence in the target area; covering his
assigned targets and conducting his actions in the manner
that he had described. More detail could have greatly
increased the value of this session.

---

### 17. FOREIGN DISCLOSURE DATA

| A. EVALUATORS RECOMMENDATION (select one only) | B. FOREIGN DISCLOSURE AUTHORITY DECISION (select one only) |
|---|---|
| ☒ RELEASABLE EVALUATION NOT REQUESTED <br> ☐ EVALUATION IS NOT RELEASABLE <br> ☐ PARA(S) _____, ABOVE, IS/ARE RELEASABLE TO THE GOVT(S) OF _____ <br> _____ | ☒ EVALUATION IS NOT RELEASABLE <br> ☐ NON-CAVEATED PORTIONS OF THIS EVAL, AS INDICATED, MAY BE REL TO THE AUTH REPS OF THE GOVT(S) OF _____ AT THE DISCRETION OF THE ORIGINATOR. |

| 18. EVALUATOR'S NAME <br><br> ALBERT J. BARI | 19. EVALUATOR'S OFFICE SYMBOL <br><br> IAGPC-OP | 20. SECURITY INSTRUCTIONS (DOWNGRADING, DECLASSIFICATION, AND SPECIAL MARKINGS) |
|---|---|---|

**21. DATE EVALUATED**

**22. ORIGINATOR OF REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**
USAOG

| YEAR | MONTH | DAY |
|---|---|---|
| 1981 | JUNE | 10 |

23. (Signature of evaluator) _Albert J Bari_